Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Jonathan D. Crane\* and Nigel Young

Department of Chemistry, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Kingston-upon-Hull HU6 7RX, England

Correspondence e-mail: j.d.crane@hull.ac.uk

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.069 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Hydridotetrakis(triphenylphosphito)cobalt(I)

At 150 K, the coordination geometry of the Co atom in the title compound,  $[CoH(C_{18}H_{15}O_3P)_4]$ , is monocapped tetrahedral, with the hydride as the face-capping ligand.

Received 17 March 2004 Accepted 25 March 2004 Online 31 March 2004

## Comment

In the title compound, (I), the P atoms of the four triphenylphosphite ligands are disposed in a distorted tetrahedral geometry around the cobalt(I) ion. The location of the facecapping hydride ligand *trans* to P1 was strongly indicated by the long Co1-P1 bond distance of 2.1191 (7) Å caused by the *trans* influence of the hydride, and the pattern of bond angles subtended at the cobalt centre (Table 1). The location was confirmed by the high residual electron density observed at this position in the difference Fourier map and the subsequent successful free refinement of the positional parameters for the hydride ligand, with a Co1-H1 distance of 1.36 (2) Å. This apparent bond shortening relative to the typical value of 1.55 Å for first row transition metal hydrides is characteristic of X-ray crystallography (Teller & Bau, 1981).



## **Experimental**

The title compound, (I), was prepared by the method of Levison & Robinson (1970). Crystals were grown by the slow diffusion of methanol into a dichloromethane solution of (I) at room temperature. Two crystal morphologies were observed: the majority were thin hexagonal plates which were found to be intractably twinned, and the minority were oblong blocks which were found to be suitable.

#### Crystal data

-	
$[CoH(C_{18}H_{15}O_{3}P)_{4}]$	$D_x = 1.368 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 1301.02$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, Cc	Cell parameters from 17065
a = 20.2331 (14)  Å	reflections
b = 17.2635 (10)  Å	$\theta = 2.0-28.0^{\circ}$
c = 18.3682 (11)  Å	$\mu = 0.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 100.117(5)^{\circ}$	T = 150 (2)  K
$V = 6316.1 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, pale yellow
Z = 4	$0.60 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm
Data collection	
Stoe IPDS-II area-detector	14644 independent reflections
diffractometer	10146 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.052$
Absorption correction: numerical	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.0^{\circ}$
(X-SHAPE; Stoe & Cie, 2001)	$h = -26 \rightarrow 26$
$T_{\min} = 0.803, T_{\max} = 0.930$	$k = -22 \rightarrow 22$
35963 measured reflections	$l = -24 \rightarrow 24$

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



## Figure 1

View of the molecule of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. For clarity, only the *ipso*-C atoms of the phenyl rings are shown; these rings are numbered sequentially round the ring starting from the *ipso*-C atom. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0277P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

7006 Friedel pairs Flack parameter = -0.022 (9)

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$   $wR(F^2) = 0.069$  S = 0.8014644 reflections 821 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Co1-H1 Co1-P1 Co1-P2	1.36 (2) 2.1191 (7) 2.0860 (8)	Co1-P3 Co1-P4	2.0903 (8) 2.0816 (7)
P1-Co1-H1 P2-Co1-H1 P3-Co1-H1 P4-Co1-H1 P2-Co1-P1	177.6 (11) 76.4 (11) 78.6 (11) 79.5 (11) 101.19 (3)	P3-Co1-P1 P4-Co1-P1 P3-Co1-P2 P4-Co1-P2 P4-Co1-P3	102.89 (3) 101.41 (3) 114.86 (3) 118.38 (3) 114.50 (3)

The C25–C30 phenyl ring was modelled as disordered over two orientations with a common *ipso*-C atom C25, giving occupancies of 0.725 (17) and 0.275 (17). The displacement parameters for corresponding atoms in the two components were constrained to be the same. All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map. They were then constrained to an ideal geometry, with a C–H distance of 0.95 Å and  $U_{iso}(H)$  values set at  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The positional



## Figure 2

View of the molecule of (I), in the same orientation as Fig. 1, but showing all the atoms. Only the major component of the disordered phenyl ring C25-C30 is shown.

parameters for the hydride ligand were freely refined, but  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$  was set to  $1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm Co}).$ 

Data collection: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2001); cell refinement: X-AREA; data reduction: X-RED32 (Stoe & Cie, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: X-STEP32 (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and WinGX (Farrugia, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999) and PLATON (Spek, 2001).

## References

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Levison, J. J. & Robinson, S. D. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 96–99.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2001). PLATON. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands. Stoe & Cie (2001). X-AREA, X-RED32, X-SHAPE and X-STEP32. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Teller, R. G. & Bau, R. (1981). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 44, 1-82.